Industrial Assistance Fund Economic Opportunities (HB 75) Guidelines adopted by the Board of Business and Economic Development – August 2004

- 1. Recommended Dollar Cap Amount per Project \$500,000
- 2. Project's required Timeframe doesn't work within the Constraints of Annual Legislative Sessions action is required during the interim period
- 3. Recommended Minimum ROI using Fiscal Impact Model ROI Multiple of 5
- 4. Applicant must specify the Timeframe in which to Demonstrate Results -recommended that this may be in months or years, not to exceed 3-5 years
- 5. Applicant has explored and exhausted all reasonable sources of funding sources including bonding, franchise fees, federal grants, etc.
- 6. Collaborative Funding IAF dollars are not the only dollars in the project
 - a. If possible state dollars should be the last dollars in the project or a matching funds requirement should be attached to any commitment
 - b. The state should be a partner in the project, not the initiator or leader ownership of the project should remain with the applicant
- 7. State Funding is Critical to Success of Project applicant should identify the compelling rationale for the state to participate
- 8. Project works in concert with Free Market Principles, not competitive to free enterprise the state is not an appropriate replacement for the marketplace.

Red Flag Issues – If a project exhibits any of these characteristics the Board is likely to say no.

- 1. The project has no direct linkage to economic development, ie; enhancing the state's tax base, creation of high paying jobs, etc.
- 2. The project is focused at retail or personal services businesses.
- 3. The project's time horizon suggests that the project is not ripe for funding.
- 4. The application seeks to by pass existing structures or organizations authorized by the Utah Legislature to address this specific type of project.
- 5. The project is directly competitive with private enterprise or likely to become competitive with private enterprise in the near term.
- 6. The project's timeline and requested funding level works well within existing legislative process, therefore the applicant should seek to receive an earmarked appropriation from the Utah Legislature.
 - a. Large dollar amounts (usually expressed in millions of dollars)
 - b. Could logically work within the flow of the normal budgetary process

Based on these Board-derived guidelines, the IAF Administrator would expect to see applications that would likely fit into one of four categories:

- 1. Allow the state to be a participant with cities, counties and others to resolve:
 - a. Critical economic development issues that if left unresolved could cause a significant economic loss to the state (loss prevention or mitigation)
 - b. Support infrastructure development critical to continued economic development.
- 2. Short-term pilot or demonstration projects to test and prove proposed economic development incentive or initiative prior to seeking legislative action to create permanent solutions.
- 3. Legislative initiatives.
- 4. Special projects as deemed appropriate by the DCED Executive Director.